www.pharmaerudition.org

ISSN: 2249-3875



# International Journal of Pharmaceutical Erudition

# Research for Present and Next Generation





# Research Paper m-PRIVACY FOR COLLABORATIVE DATA PUBLISHING: COLLABORATIVE DATA PUBLISHING PROBLEM

# Akansha Jain, Dinesh Shrimali

# JRN Rajasthan Vidhyapeeth University, Udaipur, Rajasthan

The collaborative data publishing problem for anonymizing horizontally partitioned data at multiple data providers is considered. A new type of "insider attack" by colluding data providers who may use their own data records (a subset of the overall data) in addition to the external background knowledge to infer the data records contributed by other data providers. This new threat and makes several contributions. The notion of m-privacy, which guarantees that the anonymized data satisfies a given privacy constraint against any group of up to m colluding data providers. A heuristic algorithms exploiting the equivalence group monotonicity of privacy constraints and adaptive ordering techniques for efficiently checking m-privacy given a set of records is presented. A data provider-aware anonymization algorithm is presented with adaptive m- privacy checking strategies to ensure high utility and m-privacy of anonymized data with efficiency. Experiments on real-life datasets suggest that this approach achieves better or comparable utility and efficiency than existing and baseline algorithms while providing m-privacy guarantee. The goal is to publish an anonymized view of the integrated data such that a data recipient including the data providers will not be able to compromise the privacy of the individual records provided by other parties.

Keywords: Anonymization, Adversary, Algorithm.

# INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing need for sharing data that contain personal information from distributed databases. For example, in the healthcare domain, a national agenda is to develop the Nationwide Health Information Network (NHIN) to share information among hospitals and other providers, and support appropriate use of health information beyond direct patient care with privacy protection. Privacy preserving data analysis and data publishing have received considerable attention in recent years as promising approaches for sharing data while preserving individual privacy. When the data are distributed among multiple data providers or data owners, two main settings are used for anonymization. One approach is for each provider to anonymize the data independently, which results in potential loss of integrated data utility. A more desirable approach is collaborative data publishing, which anonymizes data from all providers as if they would come from one source, using either a trusted third-party (TTP) or Secure Multi-party Computation (SMC) protocols to do computations.

# **Problem Definition**

An m-adversary as a coalition of m colluding data providers or data owners, who have access to their own data records as well as publicly available background knowledge BK and attempts to infer data records contributed by other data providers.

# **Data Publishing and Data Privacy**

Society is experiencing exponential growth in the number and variety of data collections containing

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Erudition

person-specific information. These collected information is valuable both in research and business. Data sharing is common. Publishing the data may put the respondent's privacy in risk.

# **Objective:**

Maximize data utility while limiting disclosure risk to an acceptable level

# **K-Anonymity**

If the information for each person contained in the release cannot be distinguished from at least k-1 individuals whose information also appears in the release. Ex. If you try to identify a man from a release, but the only information you have is his birth date and gender. There are k people meet the requirement. This is k-Anonymity.

#### Proposed System

# Attacks by Data Providers Using Anonymized Data and Their Own Data

- Collaborative data publishing setting with
- horizontally partitioned data across multiple data providers, each contributing a subset of records is considered.

- A data provider could be the data owner itself who is contributing its own records.
- Each provider has additional data knowledge of their own records, which can help with the attack. This issue can be further worsened when multiple data providers collude with each other.

### Advantages

- "Insider attack" by data providers is considered.
- High privacy for published data

# Methodology

The project is developed in the following stages

- \* Analysis analysis of the customer need
- \* Design design of the desired solution

\* Development – (technical) development of the solution

\* Implementation – deployment of the developed solution in the organization

\* Evaluation – evaluation the implemented solution



# System Architecture

#### Fig. 1: System architecture

The above figure represents the system architecture of m-privacy, collaborative data publishing model. The above figure represents each model designed in our study.

www.pharmaerudítion.org Feb 2018, 7(4), 115-118



# **Project Flow Chart**



# **Activity Diagram**

Fig. 2: Activity



# The above figure shows various activities handled by the system such as encryption, suppression, generalization and m-privacy.

🖗 International Journal of Pharmaceutical Erudition

#### Conclusion

A new type of potential attackers in collaborative data publishing – a coalition of data providers, called m-adversary is considered. To prevent privacy disclosure by any m-adversary we showed that guaranteeing m-privacy is enough. Heuristic algorithms is presented exploiting equivalence group monotonicity of privacy constraints and adaptive ordering techniques for efficiently checking m-privacy. We introduced also a provideraware anonymization algorithm with adaptive mprivacy checking strategies to ensure high utility and m-privacy of anonymized data.

There are many remaining research questions. Defining a proper privacy fitness score for different privacy constraints is one of them. It also remains a question to address and model the data knowledge of data providers when data are distributed in a vertical or ad-hoc fashion. It would be also interesting to verify if our methods can be adapted to other kinds of data such as set-valued data.

#### REFERENCE

1. C. Dwork, "Differential privacy: a survey of results," in Proc. of the 5th

Intl. Conf. on Theory and Applications of Models of Computation, 2008, pp. 1–19.

2. B. C. M. Fung, K. Wang, R. Chen, and P. S. Yu, "Privacy-preserving data publishing: A survey of recent developments," ACM Comput. Surv.,vol. 42, pp. 14:1–14:53, June 2010.

3. C. Dwork, "A firm foundation for private data analysis," Commun. ACM, 2011, vol. 54, pp. 86–95, 4. N. Mohammed, B. C. M. Fung, P. C. K. Hung, and C. Lee, "Centralized and distributed anonymization for high-dimensional healthcare data," ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD), vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 18:1–18:33, October 2010.

5. W. Jiang and C. Clifton, "Privacy-preserving distributed k-anonymity," in Data and Applications Security XIX, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2005, vol. 3654, pp. 924–924.

6. W. Jiang and C. Clifton, "A secure distributed framework for achieving k-anonymity," VLDB J., vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 316–333, 2006.

 O. Goldreich, Foundations of Cryptography: Volume 2, Basic Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

 Y. Lindell and B. Pinkas, "Secure multiparty computation for privacypreserving data mining," The Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality,

vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 59–98, 2009.

 A. Machanavajjhala, J. Gehrke, D. Kifer, and M. Venkitasubramaniam, "I-diversity: Privacy beyond k-anonymity," in ICDE, 2006, p. 24.

 P. Samarati, "Protecting respondents' identities in microdata release," IEEE T. Knowl. Data En., vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1010–1027, 2001.